

Kim Schofield, PE

Washington Asphalt Pavement Association

**Technical** Director



## OUTLINE

- SMA Fundamentals
- SMA Benefits
- When and where to use SMA
- Costs
- Performance
- WSDOT Project Experience
- Summary



# WHAT IS SMA?



- Stone Mastic Asphalt or Stone Matrix Asphalt
  - Has both <u>mastic</u> and a strong aggregate <u>matrix</u>
- When constructed correctly, it is deformation-resistant and can be used for roadways that need durability
  - Resistant to studded tire wear
- It has a high cubical coarse aggregate content that interlocks and forms the stone skeleton that resists permanent deformation – the Matrix
- Then the stone skeleton is filled with a Mastic a combination of the binder and mineral filler
- Fibers are usually added as well to prevent draindown



# SMA COMPOSITION

- 65-80% Coarse Aggregate
- 15-25% Fine Aggregate
- 8-12% Filler
- 6.0-7.0% Binder
- 0.2-0.4% Fiber
- 100% crushed aggregate
- Low water absorption

**Mix Design Targets** 

- Air Voids of 4.0%
- VMA of >18%
- VCA<sub>drc</sub>>VCA<sub>mix</sub>
- Hamburg (SIP)
- IDT
- In-place density target of 94% minimum
  - No vibratory roller



#### AGGREGATE STRUCTURE - CONTROL POINTS



# HMA <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>"VS. SMA <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" GRADATION CONTROL POINTS

	<sup>1</sup> ⁄2 " <b>HMA</b>		<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> " SMA	
Sieves	JMF	Control Points	JMF	Control Points
3⁄4"	100	99-100	100	100
<sup>1</sup> /2 "	94	90-100	93	90-98
3/8"	82	90 max	65	59-71
#4	54		29	21-31
#8	35	28-58	19	16-23
#200	5.9	2.0-7.0	10.6	8.6-11.0





# SMA

#### Stones

#### Stone Skeleton





#### Mastic

#### Filler



#### Binder



#### Fibers









## SMA SURFACE TEXTURE





# SMA CONSTRUCTION DETAILS

- Only steel wheel rollers no vibratory mode
- Need material transfer device
- Roller train close to paver
- In-place density target of 94%
- Draindown/fat spots
- Higher temperatures



# SMA BENEFITS

- Deformation resistant
- Resists studded tire wear
- Reduced splash and spray
- Increased wet weather friction after initial paving
- Low tire-pavement noise due to macro-texture (for a while)
- Less severe reflective cracking
- Increased pavement life
- Usually used as a wearing course with heavy traffic loads and/or slow-moving vehicles



# WHEN AND WHERE TO USE SMA

- Highways or roadways with high percentage of trucks/heavy loads
- Pavements susceptible to rutting (not structural rutting)
- Intersections
- Bus locations
- Gradients
- Runways
- Bridges





# SMA COSTS

- 25 to 50 percent higher than conventional mix, due to:
  - 100% crushed aggregates and ability to meet gradation
  - Fibers
  - Modified binder
  - Fly ash
- Added cost is offset by increased performance
  - Typically 2-8 years or more
  - SR 524 +8 years from last overlay
  - I-90 Moses Lake +2 years from EB and still in place





# SMA COST PER TON

SR	Section	Year	SMA Cost	HMA Cost	SMA tonnage	Still in place
524	64 <sup>th</sup> Ave W	1999	\$72.50		5,800	Yes
90	Ritz to Tokio	2000	\$34.00		17,500	No
90	SR21 to Ritz	2001	\$28.00	\$23.50	3,195*	No
90	Moses Lake	2004	\$41.50	\$23.50	21,617	Yes
90	SR21 to Ritz	2019	\$90.00	\$70.00	26,217	Yes

\*Note: This SMA was meant to be a much larger tonnage, hence the smaller cost difference.





# SMA COST COMPARISON EXAMPLES

HMA <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> inch PG 64-28 @\$36.00/ton = \$145,576/In-mi

SMA <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> inch PG 76-28 @\$50.00/ton = \$166,592/In-mi

Typical pavement life of HMA on East side of state = 12 years (longer life with preventive maintenance) Annualized cost - \$15,500

Pavement life required for SMA (same annualized cost) 14.5 years



# SMA PAVEMENT LIFE

Ē

SR	Section	Year Placed	Still in place	Pavement Life
524	64 <sup>th</sup> Ave W	1999	Yes	24
90	Ritz to Tokio	2000	No	<1
90	SR21 to Ritz	2001	No	18
90	Moses Lake	2004	Yes	19
90	SR21 to Ritz	2019	Yes	4



# WSDOT EXAMPLE PROJECTS

- SR-524, Lynnwood (1999)
- I-90, Ritzville to Tokio (2000)
- I-90, SR-21 to Ritzville (2001)
- I-90, Moses Lake West (2004)
- I-90, SR 21 to Ritzville (2019)



# SR-524, LYNNWOOD



- 64<sup>th</sup> Avenue Vicinity to I-5
- Placed in 1999
- ADT = 37,000 with 4% trucks
- 1,700,000 ESALS<sub>15</sub>
- Distress prior to SMA
  - Intersection shoving, flushing, raveling, patching and cracking

#### Rehabilitation treatment

- Grind and inlay with 0.15 ft SMA (1/2 inch) PG64-22
- Grind and inlay with 0.20 ft SMA PG64-22 at intersections















#### **Intersection Approach (2004)**











## **Minor Intersection Approach (2004)**





- Placed in 2000
- ADT = 8,000 with 24% trucks
- 16,000,000 ESALS<sub>15</sub>
- Distress prior to SMA
  - Rutting, raveling and cracking
- Rehabilitation treatment
  - Grind and inlay with 0.15 ft SMA (1/2 inch)
  - Outside lane (EB and WB)



- Binder PG64-34
- Potential causes of failure
  - Gradation coarser than JMF
    - Resulting in high AC
  - Use of vibratory roller
  - Flushing due to windrow material left in place
  - Insufficient mixing of fiber and mineral filler
  - Insufficient fiber quantity
  - Couldn't control volumetrics
  - Soft binder































- Summer 2001 Contract
  - Remove SMA
  - Replace with Superpave
    - This too has rutted...but that's another story that we won't get into!



- Placed in 2001
- MP 211.53 to 214.28
- ADT = 4,900 with 23% trucks
- **7,000,000 ESALS**<sub>15</sub>
- Distress prior to SMA
  - Rutting and cracking
- Rehabilitation treatment
  - Grind and inlay with 0.20 ft SMA (1/2 inch)
  - Outside lane and shoulder (WB)
- Binder PG76-28



- This was mostly HMA with a short SMA section of 3,000 tons
- Had better control of the gradation, mineral filler and binder but still struggled with VMA and Air Voids
- This section of SMA outlasted the companion HMA









# I-90, MOSES LAKE WEST

- Dodson Road to Prichard Road
- Placed in 2004
- ADT = 6,000 with 23% trucks
- 10,000,000 ESALS<sub>15</sub>
- Distress prior to SMA
  - Alligator and transverse cracking as well as rutting
- Rehabilitation treatment
  - Overlay with 0.20 ft SMA (1/2 inch)
  - Full roadway width (EB)
- Binder PG76-28



# I-90, MOSES LAKE WEST

- Required VMA was 18% minimum
  - Had to adjust the gradation to meet this requirement
- Did have some draindown issues during construction



## SURFACE TEXTURE







#### REFLECTIVE CRACKING - SMA VS. HMA

HMA placed 1 year later 1 mile W of SMA



**SMA** in lane

#### I-90 MOSES LAKE – AFTER CONSTRUCTION





#### I-90 MOSES LAKE – COMPARISON 2004 2023





# **I-90 MOSES LAKE**



# **19 YEARS OLD**



- Placed in 2019
- ADT was 12,000 with 21.7% trucks
- **8,600,000 ESALS**<sub>15</sub>
- Distress prior to SMA
  - High rutting and severe fatigue and transverse cracking
- Rehabilitation treatment
  - Grind and inlay with 0.15 ft SMA (1/2") & 3/8" HMA
  - Outside lane/shoulder & Inside lane/shoulder
- Binder PG64V-28



#### **I-90 PRIOR CONDITION**







# **I-90 CONSTRUCTION**





#### **I-90 CONSTRUCTION**



# COMPACTION

VOLVO





#### SURFACE TEXTURE



#### FAT SPOTS AND JOINT DURING CONSTRUCTION



# **2023 CONDITION**



# POTENTIAL CHALLENGES

- Good quality, hard, cubical aggregate
- Ability to get fly ash
- No RAP allowed (right now)
- Constructability
- GGE/LCA
- Biggest performance issues can include the ability to meet volumetrics and draindown



# **BENEFITS OF SMA**

- Longer life
- Resistance to rutting
- Improved friction
- Lower cracking progression (even reflective cracking)
- Reduced splash and spray
- Lower noise



#### SUMMARY

- SMA can work very well and have a long pavement life
  - Typical WSDOT HMA pavement life:
    - East side 12 years
    - West side 17 years
  - SMA pavements life
    - East side 18 and 19 years (and counting)
    - West side 24 years (and counting)
- Proper preparation from crushing to laydown critical to success!



# QUESTIONS

Kim Schofield, PE

**Technical Director** 

Washington Asphalt Pavement Association

Kim.Schofield@AsphaltWA.com

(360) 561-4271

