South Division Street Promenade, Auburn, WA

# **Practical Sustainability**

how pavement and road sustainability are done at the local level

Steve Muench NWPMA Conference Vancouver, WA Wednesday, 21 October 2015

Greenroads BRONZE CERTIFIED

## Questions

- What does sustainability mean?
  - What do people think of sustainability?
- What can we do to make roads more sustainable?
  - What can we do with pavements?
  - Which practices save money?
  - What practices actually get done?
- What can we learn from Greenroads projects?
  - Do local agencies have a chance?

# What does "sustainability" mean?

"Sustainable development is development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs."

-from the United Nations Report titled World Commission on Environment and Development: Our Common Future (1987)

#### Our Definition: Sustainability is a system characteristic that reflects the system's capacity to support <u>natural laws</u> and <u>human values</u>.

From K.H. Robert's *The Natural Step* 

#### Natural Laws

- 1. Ecology. Don't break the earth.
  - a. Do not take stuff out of the earth at a faster pace than their slow redeposit and reintegration into the earth.
  - b. Do not produce stuff at a faster pace than it can be broken down and integrated into nature at its current equilibrium.
  - c. Do not alter the productive capacity and diversity of ecosystems because our health and prosperity depend on them.

#### Human Values

- Equity. Meet fundamental human needs seek quality of life subsistence, protection, affection, understanding, participation, leisure, creation, identity and freedom
- **3. Economy**. Manage resources wisely. Financial, human, manufactured and natural capital

<u>Our Definition</u>: Sustainability is a system characteristic that reflects the system's capacity to support natural laws and human values.

The 5 simple sustainability rules:

- 1. Don't take stuff from the earth faster than it will go back in.
- 2. Don't produce stuff faster than it can be broken down.
- 3. Don't alter ecosystems.
- 4. Seek quality of life for all.
- 5. Manage resources wisely.



### **Sustainability**

"I think of it as living the life you want, with as much Earth-wise efficiency as your time and budget reasonably allow."

-Scott Adams, How I (Almost) Saved the Earth, WSJ, 21 August 2010

#### We are really talking about being "more sustainable" than we were. We are going for "do less bad". The goal is "do good".



# What do people think of sustainability?

### We want to be more sustainable...

#### Controlling CO, Emissions

Washington and many states are considering mandatory controls of carbon-dioxide emissions by business as a way to deal with global warming. Although support for controls is still overwhelming, opposition over the last few years has been growing, according to Gallup.



From: Chernova, Y. (8 Sep 2009). A Matter of Opinion: Polls show regional differences on some energy issues. *Wall Street Journal*.

### ...but we are not willing to pay extra.



From: Chernova, Y. (8 Sep 2009). A Matter of Opinion: Polls show regional differences on some energy issues. *Wall Street Journal*.

The to-do list: What can we do to make roads more sustainable?

#### We looked at a bunch of sustainability rating systems and used them to make a to-do list of sustainable things.

- A sustainability rating system is a set of sustainability best practices with an associated common metric.
- Currently, there are about 10-20 systems that relate to roads in some way.

Status	Road Systems	Infrastructure Systems
<b>Operational</b> Released v1 Rated 1+ projects	Greenroads GreenLITES INVEST Infrastructure Sustainability STARS	LEED ND CEEQUAL Sustainable Sites CEEQUAL International Envision
<b>Development</b> Not yet v1 Early stages Internal pilots Research	BE <sup>2</sup> ST-in-Highways Green Guide for Roads GreenPAVE I-LAST STEED INVEST VicRoads SUNRA (EU)	

#### We call this list the...

#### Global Framework for Roadway Sustainability Rating Systems

Human			Environment		
Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics	Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics
Basic Needs	Access	Modal access (ped, bike, HOV)	Nature &	Clean Air	Construction Equip. Emissions
Health	Healthy Life	Livability	Environment		Materials Transport Emissions
	,	Noise reduction			Materials Production Emissions
	Safety	Worker/jobsite safety		Clean Water	
		Traffic/road user safety			Stormwater runoff quality
		Infrastructure resiliency			Groundwater quality
	Culture and History	Cultural preservation/outreach		Clean Land	Contaminated soil – brownfield
		Historical preservation			Waste management/minimize
	Aesthetics	Scenic views		Ecological	
		Aesthetics of earthwork and		Resources	Habitat preserve/conserve
Personal &	Education	lob training		Resources	Wildlife conservation
Social	Equality	Environmental justice			Stormwater runoff volume/flow
Development	Equality	Gender diversity			Ecological connectivity
Development	Income Distribution	Prevailing wages			Light pollution & glare
	Good Governance	Context Sensitive Solutions			Non-hazardous materials
		Anti-corruption/collusion	Natural	Water Resources	Water use
			Resources	Water Resources	Renewable water resources
Economy			Resources	Consumption	Material reuse
Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics			Material recycling
Transition	Transition	Climate change adaptation			Minimize materials
		Electric vehicles infrastructure			Local materials
Economy	Financial Impact	Local economy			Durable structures
	Employment	Local employment			Quality control
	Cost-Benefit	Cost-benefit			Reduce non-renew. energy use
					Fuel use
Veeravigrom, M.;	Muench, S.T. and Kosonen,	, H. (2015). A Global Framework for	Climate &	Renewable Energy	Encourage renewable energy
Meeting, 11-15 Ja	nuary 2015, National Resea	arch Council, Washington, D.C.	Energy	GHG Emissions	Lifecycle assessment (LCA)
<i>.</i>	<i>,</i>				Greenhouse gas emissions

## Global Framework for Roadway Sustainability Rating Systems

What Roadway Rating Systems <u>Nearly Always</u> Address

Human			Environment		
Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics	Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics
Basic Needs	Access	Modal access (ped, bike, HOV)	Nature &	Clean Air	
Health	Healthy Life	Livability Noise reduction	Environment		
	Safety			Clean Water	Clean water Stormwater runoff quality
	Culture and History			Clean Land	Weste management (minimize
	Aesthetics			Ecological Resources	Habitat preserve/conserve
Personal &	Education				Wildlife conservation
Social Development	Equality				Stormwater runoff volume/flow
	Income Distribution				Light pollution & glare
	Good Governance				
			Natural	Water Resources	
Economy			Resources	C	
Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics		Consumption	Material reuse Material recycling
Transition	Transition				Minimize materials Local materials
Economy	Financial Impact				
	Employment				
	Cost-Benefit				Reduce non-renew. energy use
Veeravigrom, M.;	Muench, S.T. and Kosonen	, H. (2015). A Global Framework for	Climate 9:	Dan averbla Frances	Francisco and state and state
Sustainable Road	way Rating Systems. Transp	portation Research Board 94th Annual		CLIC Emissions	Encourage renewable energy
Meeting, 11-15 Ja	nuary 2015, National Resea	arch Council, Washington, D.C.	Energy		Greenhouse gas emissions

## Global Framework for Roadway Sustainability Rating Systems

What Roadway Rating Systems Rarely Address

Human			Environment		
Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics	Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics
Basic Needs	Access		Nature &	Clean Air	
Health	Healthy Life		Environment		Materials Production Emissions
	Safety	Worker/jobsite safety		Clean Water	
		Infrastructure resiliency			Croundwater quality
	Culture and History			Clean Land	Groundwater quality
	Aesthetics				
				Ecological Resources	Habitat creation
Personal &	Education	Job training			
Social Development	Equality	Environmental justice Gender diversity			
Development	Income Distribution				
	Good Governance	Anti corruption/collusion			Non-hazardous materials
		Anti-corruption/collusion	Natural	Water Resources	
Economy			Resources	Concumption	
Category	Indicator	Typical Rating System Topics		Consumption	
Transition	Transition	Climate change adaptation Electric vehicles infrastructure			
Economy	Financial Impact	Local economy			Durable structures
	Employment	Local employment			Quality control
	Cost-Benefit	Cost-benefit			
Vooravigrom Mai	August ST and Kacanan	H (2015) A Clobal Ecomowork for			
Sustainable Roadv	videncii, S. I. and Rosonen, vay Rating Systems. <i>Transp</i>	portation Research Board 94th Annual	Climate &	Renewable Energy	
Meeting, 11-15 Jar	nuary 2015, National Resea	arch Council, Washington, D.C.	Energy	GHG Emissions	Lifecycle assessment (LCA)

## To-do list summary

- There are 51 identified topics on the list
- There are 17 topics common to most rating systems
  - Things most recognize as "sustainable" things
  - e.g., reduce energy, minimize materials, recycling
- There are 19 topics not addressed very often
  - Things few recognize as "sustainable" things
  - e.g., durability (long-life pavement), training, LCCA

## What can we do with pavements?

# These 11 road-related rating systems have 19 common sustainability practices associated with pavement.

Item	Number of Systems
Materials Production	
Materials Production Emissions	4
Reduce energy consumption	11
Pavement Design	
Durable structures (long life)	3
Minimize materials (reduce)	10
Construction	
Construction Equipment Emissions	7
Materials Transport Emissions	5
Waste Management/minimization	11
Noise reduction (construction noise)	8
Fuel use	7
Worker/jobsite safety	3
Job training	4
Local employment	4
Quality control	3

Item	Number of Systems
Use	
Stormwater runoff quality	8
Stormwater runoff volume/flow	8
LCCA and/or cost-benefit	4
Noise reduction	9
Maintenance & Rehabilitation	
(included in other phases)	
End-of-Life	
Material recycling	10
Material reuse (existing pavement)	10

#### 11 road-related rating systems reviewed

CEEQUAL, Envision, GreenLITES, Greenroads, INVEST VicRoads, INVEST, IS, LEED-ND, I-LAST, STARS, STEED

Analysis from dissertation work by M. Veeravigrom, University of Washington, 2015

## Which sustainability practices save money?

## All of these items save money.

ltem	Number of Systems
Materials Production	Systems
Materials Production Emissions	1
	4
Reduce energy consumption	11
Pavement Design	
Durable structures (long life)	3
Minimize materials (reduce)	10
Construction	
Construction Equipment Emissions	7
Materials Transport Emissions	5
Waste Management/minimization	11
Noise reduction (construction noise)	8
Fuel use	7
Worker/jobsite safety	3
Job training	4
Local employment	4
Quality control	3

	Number of
Item	Systems
Use	
Stormwater runoff quality	8
Stormwater runoff volume/flow	8
LCCA and/or cost-benefit	4
Noise reduction	9
Maintenance & Rehabilitation	
(included in other phases)	
End-of-Life	
Material recycling	10
Material reuse (existing pavement)	10

#### 11 road-related rating systems reviewed

CEEQUAL, Envision, GreenLITES, Greenroads, INVEST VicRoads, INVEST, IS, LEED-ND, I-LAST, STARS, STEED

Analysis from dissertation work by M. Veeravigrom, University of Washington, 2015

# Many of these items have been emphasized by the pavement industry for years.

Item	Number of Systems
Materials Production	
Materials Production Emissions	4
Reduce energy consumption	11
Pavement Design	
Durable structures (long life)	3
Minimize materials (reduce)	10
Construction	
Construction Equipment Emissions	7
Materials Transport Emissions	5
Waste Management/minimization	11
Noise reduction (construction noise)	8
Fuel use	7
Worker/jobsite safety	3
Job training	4
Local employment	4
Quality control	3

Item	Number of Systems
Use	
Stormwater runoff quality	8
Stormwater runoff volume/flow	8
LCCA and/or cost-benefit	4
Noise reduction	9
Maintenance & Rehabilitation	
(included in other phases)	
End-of-Life	
Material recycling	10
Material reuse (existing pavement)	10

#### 11 road-related rating systems reviewed

CEEQUAL, Envision, GreenLITES, Greenroads, INVEST VicRoads, INVEST, IS, LEED-ND, I-LAST, STARS, STEED

Analysis from dissertation work by M. Veeravigrom, University of Washington, 2015

# What pavement sustainability practices are typically done?

## Example: Credits Achieved in Greenroads



Some results from a survey of 105 roadway projects across the U.S. that assessed the state-of-the-practice in roadway sustainability.

#### **Reference**

Anderson, J. and Muench, S.T (2013). Sustainability Trends Measured by Greenroads Rating System. *Transportation Research Record 2357*. TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., pp. 24-32.

## **Achievement Summary**

- Generally, projects advertised as "green" if they have:
  - LID drainage (e.g., rain gardens, pervious pavement, etc.)
  - Bike and pedestrian facilities
- Fundamental pavement sustainability ideas are not done at any greater rate for green projects vs. regular projects
  - Long-lasting pavement, quality construction, reuse and recycling
  - They are not recognized as sustainable best practices...but they are
- Rate of accomplishment should be higher
  - LCCA and long-life pavement infrequent (only done 25% of the time)
  - Construction activities generally do not contribute (12% average)
- Contractors and materials suppliers cannot participate
  - Excluded by the use of standard specifications that limit their options

## **GREENROADS ACHIEVEMENT** What we know from analyzing Greenroads projects

## A brief review of Greenroads



#### What is Greenroads?

An independent 3<sup>rd</sup> party sustainability rating system for transportation design and construction. It awards points for more sustainable practices and can help <u>quantify</u> and <u>communicate</u> the sustainable attributes of a transport project.

It is like LEED<sup>®</sup> for roads.



**Camp Garcia Entrance Road, Vieques Island NWR, PR** U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FHWA Federal Lands Highway



#### What can Greenroads do for you?

- ✓ Define sustainable features on your project
- ✓ Benchmark and manage sustainability
- ✓ Communicate sustainability efforts to key stakeholders
- ✓ Stimulate the market for green transportation

#### It helps improve transportation project sustainability.



Photos from Concrete Works of Colorado, Inc. (prime contractor)

#### What does Greenroads Address?

Greenroads is a project-oriented system focusing on design and construction, which is a conscious scope choice. Planning/operations/maintenance are megaimportant; this tool is meant to address the design/construction piece.

Greenroads addresses design and construction.



#### **Does Greenroads work for my project?**

Greenroads works for all roadway projects and more. It is applicable to a wide range of project sizes and scopes. It works for huge billion dollar mega-projects and for routine pavement overlay projects and everything in between.

#### Greenroads works for all types and sizes of surface transportation projects.

## Greenroads v1.5 Now deprecated, but used for data analysis



### **Greenroads Version 1.5: Overview**

Category	Description	Points
Project Requirements (11)	Minimum requirements for a Greenroad	Req.
Voluntary Credits (37)		
Environment & Water	Stormwater, habitat, vegetation	21
Access & Equity	Modal access, culture, aesthetics, safety	30
Construction Activities	Construction equipment, processes, quality	14
Materials & Resources	Material extraction, processing, transport	23
Pavement Technology	Pavement design, material use, function	20
	Total Voluntary Credit Points	108

Custom credits white your own credit for approval
---

Total Points118



## **Project Requirements**

Requirement		Description	
PR-1	Environmental Review Process	Complete and environmental review process	
PR-2	Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA)	Perform LCCA for pavement section	
PR-3	Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)	Perform LCI of pavement section with computer tool	
PR-4	Quality Control Plan	Have a formal contractor quality control plan	
PR-5	Noise Mitigation Plan	Have a construction noise mitigation plan	
PR-6	Waste Management Plan	Have a formal plan to divert C&D waste from landfill	
PR-7	Pollution Prevention Plan	Have a TESC/SWPPP	
PR-8	Low-Impact Development (LID)	Feasibility study for LID stormwater management	
PR-9	Pavement Mgmt. System	Have a pavement management system	
PR-10	Site Maintenance Plan	Have a site maintenance plan	
PR-11	Educational Outreach	Publicize sustainability information for project	



## — Environment & Water

Voluntary Credit		Points	Description
EW-1	Environmental Mgmt. Sys.	2	ISO 14001 or eq. cert. for general contractor
EW-2	Runoff Flow Control	3	Capture stormwater/reduce runoff quantity
EW-3	Runoff Quality	3	Treat stormwater to a higher level of quality
EW-4	Stormwater Cost Analysis	1	Conduct an LCCA for stormwater BMP/LID
EW-5	Site Vegetation	3	Use native low/no water vegetation
EW-6	Habitat Restoration	3	Create new habitat beyond what is required
EW-7	Ecological Connectivity	3	Connect habitat across roadways
EW-8	Light Pollution	3	Discourage light pollution
Total		21	

## Access & Equity



reenroads



## **Construction Activities**

Volun	tary Credit	Points	Description
CA-1	Quality Management System	2	ISO 9001 cert. or eq. for general contractor
CA-2	Environmental Training	1	Provide environmental training
CA-3	Site Recycling Plan	1	On-site recycling and trash collection
CA-4	Fossil Fuel Use Reduction	2	Use alt. fuels in construction equipment
CA-5	Eqpt. Emission Reduction	2	Meet EPA Tier 4 stds. for nonroad equipment
CA-6	Paver Emission Reduction	1	Use pavers that meet NIOSH requirements
CA-7	Water Use Tracking	2	Develop data on water use in construction
CA-8	Contractor Warranty	3	Warranty on the constructed pavement
Total		14	



## — Materials & Resources

Voluntary Credit		Points	Description
MR-1	Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)	2	Conduct a detailed LCA of the entire project
MR-2	Pavement Reuse	5	Reuse existing pavement sections
MR-3	Earthwork Balance	1	Balance cut/fill quantities
MR-4	Recycled Materials	5	Use recycled materials for new pavement
MR-5	Regional Materials	5	Use regional materials
MR-6	Energy Efficiency	5	Improve energy eff. of operational systems
Total		23	



## **Pavement Technologies**

Volun	tary Credit	Points	Description
PT-1	Long-Life Pavement	5	Design pavements for long-life
PT-2	Permeable Pavement	3	Use permeable pavement as a LID technique
PT-3	Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA)	3	Use WMA in place of HMA
PT-4	Cool Pavement	5	Contribute less to urban heat island effect
PT-5	Quiet Pavement	3	Use a quiet pavement to reduce noise
PT-6	Pvmt. Performance Tracking	1	Relate construction to performance data
Total		20	

## Total: 49% of points are pavement-related

## A moment on Greenroads v2

## Side by Side - Rating System Elements

#### Greenroads v1.5

Category Name	Credits	Points
Project Requirements (PR)	11	0
Environment & Water (EW)	8	21
Access & Equity (AE)	9	30
Construction Activities (CA)	8	14
Materials & Resources (MR)	6	23
Pavement Technologies (PT)	6	20
Custom Credits	9	10

Total Main Categories	48	108
Total w/ CE	57	118

<b>Certification Award Levels</b>	PRs	Points
Bronze	All 11	32
Silver	All 11	43
Gold	All 11	54
Evergreen	All 11	64

#### Greenroads v2

Category Name	Credits	Points
Project Requirements (PR)	12	0
Environment & Water (EW)	10	30
Construction Activities (CA)	11	20
Materials & Design (MD)	6	24
Utilities & Controls (UC)	8	20
Access & Livability (AL)	10	21
Creativity & Effort (CE)	4	15
Total Main Categories	57	115
Total w/ CE	61	130

<b>Certification Award Levels</b>	PRs	Points
Bronze	All 12	40
Silver	All 12	50
Gold	All 12	60
Evergreen	All 12	80

## Side by Side – Category Weights

**Greenroads v1.5 Points** 



- Access & Equity (AE)
- Construction Activities (CA)
- Materials & Resources (MR)
- Pavement Technologies (PT)





- Environment & Water (EW)
- Construction Activities (CA)
- Materials & Design (MD)
- Utilities & Controls (UC)
- Access & Livability (AL)

Analysis of the first 22 projects certified by Greenroads v1.5

# Project List

1	Meador Kansas Ellis Trail	WA
2	Southeast Pioneer Way Reconstruction	WA
3	South Division Street Promenade	WA
4	Cheney Stadium Sustainable Stormwater Project	WA
5	Alaska Street Improvements	WA
6	Asotin Court	WA
7	2010 STP Monterey Road Rehabilitation	CA
8	Bagby Street Reconstruction	ТХ
9	Wapato Lake Drive	WA
10	14th Street: Market to Colfax	CO
11	Transportation Gateway: S 216th Street	WA
12	*SFPR: Terminus in Delta to HWY-99 Interchange	BC
13	*SFPR: HWY-99 Interchange to HWY-91 Connector	BC
14	*SFPR: HWY-91 Connector to Delta/Surrey Border	BC
15	*SFPR: Delta/Surrey Border to Port Mann Bridge	BC
16	*SFPR: Port Mann Bridge to Terminus in Surrey	BC
17	Bristol Street Widening Phase II	CA
18	*Presidio Parkway: Ruckman Bridge Replacement	CA
4.0		
19	*Presidio Parkway: Southbound High Viaduct	CA
19 20	*Presidio Parkway: Southbound High Viaduct *Presidio Parkway: Southbound Battery Tunnel	CA CA
19 20 21	*Presidio Parkway: Southbound High Viaduct *Presidio Parkway: Southbound Battery Tunnel NE 120th Street Extension	CA CA WA

## Projects rely heavily on a few common credits. Some credits are never attempted.



ID	Name	% of total points	
AE-3	Context Sensitive Solutions	13%	
MR-5	Regional Materials	9%	
PT-1	Long-Life Pavement	7%	
EW-5	Site Vegetation	6%	
MR-6	Energy Efficiency	6%	
PT-4	Cool Pavement	5%	
AE-2	Intelligent Transportation Systems	5%	

- 51% of points

# Projects often do not register until late in the design or early in the construction phase.

							Durat	ion						
ID#	-600	-400	-200	0	200	400	600	800	1000	1200	1400	1600	1800	
21	-490 🗅			00	213				1,066⊲			Miles	10000	
7			-189 Þ	0 🔿 98	3 🔴		734	1⊲			0	Construc	tion Start	
1			-132 Þ	0012	23 • 296	$\triangleleft$					•	Construc	tion End	
17			-96Þ	00		56	7 🜒 703<	4				Certificat	on Date	
8			-78	>00			742	2● ◀						
2			-57	′⊳ o	242 🌒	434 🗸								
11			-54	1⊳ O	33	80 • 511<	1							
3			-43	3⊳0	303	● 442◀								
4			-2	280091	● 216◀									
5				-810	183 🔴	470⊲								
6				-810	183 ●	496⊲								
9				-810	183 🔴			808⊲						
22				00>				880 ●	1,106⊲					
10				00		395● ▷			1	,230 <b>ব</b>				
18				00		497⊳	•		1,126				1,844⊲	
19				00		497⊳			1,126				1,844⊲	
20				00		497 🗅	•		1,126				1,844⊲	
12				00			7	77 Þ 🌢			1,458⊲			
13				00			7	77⊳●			1,458⊲			
14				00			7	77⊳●			1,458⊲			
15				00			7	77⊳●			1,458⊲			
16				00			7	77⊳●			1,458⊲			
	-600	-400	-200	0	200	400	600 Durat	800 tion	1000	1200	1400	1600	1800	

# On average project teams are 15% too optimistic about their Greenroads score.

#OI	# Credits Achieved	Final Score	Final Rating	Expected	Expected Rating						
17	12	35	Bronze	51	Silver						46%
10	13	32	Bronze	43	Silver					34%	
8	17	45	Silver	59	Gold				31%	6	
1	16	44	Silver	55	Gold				25%		
19	14	33	Bronze	41	Bronze				24%		
18	14	33	Bronze	41	Bronze				24%		
9	17	45	Silver	55	Gold			22	2%		
16	15	32	Bronze	36	Bronze		1	3%			
15	15	32	Bronze	36	Bronze		1	3%			
14	15	32	Bronze	36	Bronze		1	3%			
13	15	32	Bronze	36	Bronze		1	3%			
12	15	32	Bronze	36	Bronze		1	3%			
3	11	33	Bronze	37	Bronze		1	2%			
4	15	43	Silver	48	Silver		12	2%			
5	17	44	Silver	49	Silver		11	1%			
2	17	43	Silver	46	Silver		7%				
7	14	35	Bronze	37	Bronze		6%				
20	16	39	Bronze	41	Bronze		5%				
11	18	40	Bronze	42	Bronze	ť	5%				
22	18	43	Silver	45	Silver	5	5%				
21	17	46	Silver	48	Silver	4	%				
6	15	36	Bronze	37	Bronze	3%	D				
						0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%

**Overshoot as % of Final Score** 

# From 20-53% (average 41%) of the point totals come from pavement-related credits.

#### Point Total of Greenroads Certified Projects Attributable to Pavements

Project	Total Score	Pavement Score	Pavement Fraction	Project	Total Score	Pavement Score	Pavement Fraction
1	44	23	52%	12	32	14	44%
2	43	19	44%	13	32	14	44%
3	33	8	24%	14	32	14	44%
4	43	17	40%	15	32	14	44%
5	44	16	36%	16	32	14	44%
6	36	18	50%	17	35	10	29%
7	35	15	43%	18	33	12	36%
8	45	22	49%	19	33	12	36%
9	45	18	40%	20	39	18	46%
10	32	17	53%	21	46	23	50%
11	40	8	20%	22	43	16	37%

#### Certified Greenroads Projects: Score, Owner, Construction Cost



# Monterey Road

#### Pavement Scorecard: 17/35 points (49%)

Credit	Title	Points
CA-3	Site Recycling Plan	1
CA-7	Water Use Tracking	2
MR-2	Pavement Reuse	5
MR-4	Recycled Materials	1
MR-5	Regional Materials	1
PT-1	Long-Life Pavement	5
CC-2	Workzone Safety	2

Greenroads <sup>TM</sup> Sum Monterey Road City of San Jose, C	imary
Total Score*	35
Project Requirements	11/11
Environment & Water	3/21
Access & Equity	10/30
Construction Activities	3/14
Materials & Resources	12/23
Pavement Technologies	5/20
Custom Credits	2/10

\*Does not include Project Requirements



#### City of San Jose, CA

R

#### **Bagby Street Reconstruction**

City of Houston, TX



#### Pavement Scorecard: 22/45 points (49%)

Credit	Title	Points
CA-3	Site Recycling Plan	1
CA-7	Water Use Tracking	2
CA-8	Contractor Warranty	3
MR-4	Recycled Materials	2
MR-5	Regional Materials	4
PT-1	Long-Life Pavement	5
PT-4	Cool Pavement	5



#### **SE Pioneer Way Reconstruction**

City of Oak Harbor, WA

**Points** 

1

2

2

5

#### Pavement Scorecard: 19/43 points (44%) Credit Title CA-2 **Environmental Training** CA-3 Site Recycling Plan CA-6 **Paving Emissions Reduction** CA-7 Water Use Tracking Greenroads<sup>™</sup> Summary MR-4 **Recycled Materials SE Pioneer Way Reconstruction** MR-5 **Regional Materials** City of Oak Harbor, WA **PT-1** Long-Life Pavement 43 **PT-3** Warm Mix Asphalt 11/11 5/21 10/30



\*Does not include Project Requirements

5/14

10/23

8/20

5/10

**Total Score\*** 

Access & Equity

**Custom Credits** 

**Project Requirements** 

**Environment & Water** 

**Construction Activities** 

Materials & Resources

**Pavement Technologies** 

## **Conclusions from Greenroads Analysis**

- Projects tend to rely on a few common credits
  - 7 credits comprise 51% of all points earned to date
  - 3 credits are pavement-related
- Project sustainability potential is not yet reached
  - Tend to register late in the design process at best
  - Harder to introduce new ideas to the project at low cost
  - Sustainability rating is not an award program
- Self-evaluation scores are too optimistic
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> party verification has value
- About 40% of Greenroads points from pavements
  - Pavements contribute significantly to sustainability

## **Conclusions from Greenroads Analysis**

- Smaller owners are very successful
  - 7 of 8 Silver certified projects are < \$10 million</p>
  - Smaller owners are more agile
  - Smaller cities care what is built in town
    - It's easier to hear your local taxpayers
    - It matters more when its in your town
  - Highways are less directly tied to the local community
- Smaller owners tend to save more money
  - San Jose, CA saves 23% (\$800,000) using CIR
  - Tacoma, WA saves 80% on stormwater infrastructure
  - Kirkland, WA saves \$4,000 using WMA

# Takeaways

## Takeaways

- People don't want to pay more for sustainability
  - That's okay: sustainability costs less
- For roads "Green" usually means LID, and multimodal
  - Beyond recycling, pavement sustainability has not caught on
  - Contractors and materials suppliers are not allowed to participate
- Pavements contribute significantly to sustainability
  - Much has been emphasized by the industry for years
- Smaller owners are very successful in Greenroads
  - Smaller owners are more agile
  - Smaller cities care what is built in town
  - Smaller owners seem to save more money

## Takeaways

What you do on a daily basis is very much consistent with the idea of sustainability.

Not everyone knows that yet, but these contributions are recognized by the right sustainability rating systems.

Despite the relative lack of money, local agencies have led the way in sustainability and will continue to do so.

